

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

M I LEIRST NAMED APPLICANT APPERATION (NECESS) **UN9907/98 ЭЛТ ФРЭЈЕУ 2ФДОЗЕ** NO. BEHREADOWNEHHARVEY SEIDEL GONDA LAVORGNA & MONACO TWO PENN CENTER PLAZA **SUITE 1800** ART 158541 PAPER NUMBER PHILADELPHIA PA 19102 03/03/ DATE MAILED: 02/23/99 This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed ☐ 1. The Power of Attorney to you in this application has been revoked by the applicant. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33. 2. The Power of Attorney to you in this application has been revoked by the assignee who has intervened as provided by 37 CFR 3.71. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. (37 CFR 1.33). 3. The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33. This is a communication from the Patent and Trademark Office 1 The Power of Attorney in this application is accepted. Correspondence in this application will be mailed to the below-noted address as provided by 37 CFR 1.33. ☐ 5. The Power of Attorney in this application is not accepted for the reason(s) checked below: □ a. The Power of Attorney is from an assignee and the Certificate required by 37 CFR 3.73 (b) has not been received. ☐ b. The person signing for the assignee has omitted their empowerment to sign on behalf of the assignee. c. The inventor(s) is without authority to appoint attorneys since the assignee has intervened as provided by 37 CFR 3.71. d. The signature of application, has been omitted. The Power of Attorney will be entered upon receipt of confirmation signed by said co-inventor. □ e. The person(s) appointed in the Power of Attorney is not registered to practice before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office. ☐ f. The revocation is not signed by the applicant, the assignee of the entire interest, or one particular principal attorney having the authority to revoke. FARKAS & MANELLI, PLLC 2000 M STREET, N. W. 7TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307 This is a communication from the Patent and Trademark Office

Applicant(s) Application No. **MILLS** Advisory Action Art Unit 1754 Wayne Langel -- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspondence addr ss --FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ___ Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _____ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 31 and 34

10. Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered but is deemed unpersuasive for the reasons of record in the final rejection mailed 7/6/01. Applicant argues that the examiner has not fully and fairly considered the experimental evidence presented. However, this is not persuasive because the experimental evidence submitted was fully evaluated as set forth in the attachment to the final rejection. The various other remarks presented by the applicant in response to the final rejection have also been considered but appear to be merely a restatement of previously presented remarks and arguments which were addressed in the prior office action to which applicant is directed for specifics relating thereto.

Wayne A. Langel Wayne A. Langel Primary Examiner GAU 1754